

AUTHORIZATION TO DISCHARGE UNDER THE  
NATIONAL POLLUTANT DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM

In compliance with the provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act, as amended, (33 U.S.C. §§1251 et seq.; the "CWA"), and the Massachusetts Clean Waters Act, as amended, (M.G.L. Chap. 21, §§ 26-53),

**Town of Concord**  
**Department of Public Works**

is authorized to discharge from the facility located at

**Concord Wastewater Treatment Plant**  
**509 Bedford Street**  
**Concord, MA 01742**

to receiving water named

**Concord River**

in accordance with effluent limitations, monitoring requirements and other conditions set forth herein.

This permit shall become effective on (**See \*\* below**)

This permit and the authorization to discharge expire at midnight, five (5) years from the effective date.

This permit supersedes the permit issued on January 12, 2006.

This permit consists of 14 pages in Part I including effluent limitations and monitoring requirements, 25 pages in Part II Standard Conditions, Attachment A – Revised Freshwater Chronic Toxicity Test Procedure and Protocol, and Attachment B – Summary of Required Reports.

Signed this     day of

---

Stephen S. Perkins, Director  
Office of Ecosystem Protection  
Environmental Protection Agency  
Boston, MA

---

David Ferris, Director  
Massachusetts Wastewater Management Program  
Department of Environmental Protection  
Commonwealth of Massachusetts  
Boston, MA

\*\* This permit will become effective on the date of signature if no comments are received during public notice. If comments are received during public notice, this permit will be made effective no sooner than 30 days after signature

# EXHIBIT 6

Draft Permit

NPDES Permit No. MA0100668

Page 2 of 14

## PART I

A.1. During the period beginning on the effective date and lasting through expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge treated effluent from outfall serial number 001 to the Concord River. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored as specified below.							
<u>EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTIC</u>		<u>EFFLUENT LIMITS</u>				<u>MONITORING REQUIREMENTS</u> <sup>3</sup>	
<u>PARAMETER</u>	<u>AVERAGE MONTHLY</u>	<u>AVERAGE WEEKLY</u>	<u>AVERAGE MONTHLY</u>	<u>AVERAGE WEEKLY</u>	<u>MAXIMUM DAILY</u>	<u>MEASUREMENT FREQUENCY</u>	<u>SAMPLE TYPE</u>
FLOW <sup>2</sup>	*****	*****	1.2 MGD	*****	Report MGD	CONTINUOUS	RECORDER
FLOW <sup>2</sup>	*****	*****	Report MGD	*****	*****	CONTINUOUS	RECORDER
BOD <sub>5</sub> <sup>4</sup>	300 lbs/Day 136 kgs/Day	450 lbs/Day 204 kgs/Day	30 mg/l	45 mg/l	Report mg/l	2/WEEK	24-HOUR COMPOSITE <sup>5</sup>
TSS <sup>4</sup>	300 lbs/Day 136 kgs/Day	450 lbs/Day 204 kgs/Day	30 mg/l	45 mg/l	Report mg/l	2/WEEK	24-HOUR COMPOSITE <sup>5</sup>
pH RANGE <sup>1</sup>	6.0- 8.3 SU (SEE PERMIT PAGE 5 OF 14, PARAGRAPH I.A.1.b.)					1/DAY	GRAB
ESCHERICHIA COLI <sup>1,6</sup>	*****	*****	126 cfu/100 ml	*****	409 cfu/100 ml	2/WEEK	GRAB
DISSOLVED OXYGEN (April 1 <sup>st</sup> -October 31 <sup>st</sup> )	NOT LESS THAN 5.0 mg/l					1/DAY	GRAB
TOTAL PHOSPHORUS <sup>7</sup> April 1 <sup>st</sup> – October 31 <sup>st</sup> November 1 <sup>st</sup> – March 31 <sup>st</sup>	***** *****	***** *****	200 µg/l 1,000 µg/l	***** *****	1,000 µg/l Report µg/l	1/MONTH	24-HOUR COMPOSITE <sup>5</sup>
DI(2-ETHYLHEXYL) PHTHALATE <sup>8</sup>	*****	*****	*****	*****	Report µg/l	1/QUARTER	24-HOUR COMPOSITE <sup>5</sup>
TOTAL RECOVERABLE ALUMINUM <sup>9</sup>	*****	*****	306 µg/l	*****	Report µg/l	1/MONTH	24-HOUR COMPOSITE <sup>5</sup>
WHOLE EFFLUENT TOXICITY <sup>10, 11, 12, 13</sup> Total Recoverable Cadmium Total Recoverable Lead Total Recoverable Copper Total Recoverable Zinc Total Recoverable Nickel Total Recoverable Aluminum	Acute LC <sub>50</sub> ≥ 100% Chronic C-NOEC Report Report maximum daily, µg/l Report maximum daily, µg/l Report maximum daily, µg/l Report maximum daily, µg/l Report maximum daily, µg/l Report maximum daily, µg/l					4/YEAR	24-HOUR COMPOSITE <sup>5</sup>

## Footnotes:

1. Required for State Certification.
2. Report annual average, monthly average, and the maximum daily flow. The limit is an annual average, which shall be reported as a rolling average. The value will be calculated as the arithmetic mean of the monthly average flow for the reporting month and the monthly average flows of the previous eleven months.
3. All samples shall be representative of the discharge from outfall 001. A routine sampling program shall be developed in which samples are taken at the same location, same time and same days of the week each month. Occasional deviations from the routine sampling program are allowed, but the reason for the deviation shall be documented in correspondence appended to the applicable discharge monitoring report. All samples shall be tested using the analytical methods found in 40 CFR§136, or alternative methods approved by EPA in accordance with the procedures in 40 CFR§136.
4. Sampling required for influent and effluent.
5. 24-hour composite samples will consist of at least twenty-four (24) grab samples taken during one consecutive 24 hour period, either collected at equal intervals and combined proportional to flow or continuously collected proportionally to flow.
6. The monthly average limit for *E. coli* is expressed as a geometric mean.
7. The sampling frequency identified is the minimum sampling frequency and, in accordance with footnote #3, sampling must be conducted on the same day(s) each week. If any additional phosphorus sampling is conducted, including process control samples, the individual phosphorus results, including the day each sample was taken, the type of sample, i.e., 24-hour composite or grab, and the analytical method, must be reported on an attachment to the DMR. Additionally, the chemical dosing rate for all chemicals added for the purpose of phosphorus removal shall be reported for each day of the month. Only 24 hour composite samples analyzed with an EPA approved method shall be used in determining compliance with the permit limit.
8. If DEHP analysis is non-detect, the permittee shall include the reporting limit in the DMR cover letter or as an attachment to the DMR.
9. The aluminum samples shall be collected concurrently with the phosphorus samples.
10. The permittee shall conduct chronic (and modified acute) toxicity tests four times per year. The chronic test may be used to calculate the acute LC50 at the 48-hour exposure interval. The permittee shall test the daphnid, *Ceriodaphnia dubia*, only. Toxicity test samples shall

be collected during the second week of the months of March, June, September, and December. The test results shall be submitted by the last day of the month following the completion of the test. The results are due April 30<sup>th</sup>, July 31<sup>st</sup>, October 31<sup>st</sup> and January 31<sup>st</sup>, respectively. The tests must be performed in accordance with test procedures and protocols specified in Attachment A of this permit.

Test Dates Second Week in	Submit Results By:	Test Species	Acute Limit LC <sub>50</sub>	Chronic Limit C-NOEC
March June September December	April 30 July 31 October 31 January 31	<u>Ceriodaphnia dubia</u> (daphnid)	≥ 100%	Report

11. The LC50 is the concentration of effluent which causes mortality to 50% of the test organisms. Therefore, a 100% limit means that a sample of 100% effluent (no dilution) shall cause no more than a 50% mortality rate.
12. C-NOEC (chronic-no observed effect concentration) is defined as the highest concentration of toxicant or effluent to which organisms are exposed in a life cycle or partial life cycle test which causes no adverse effect on growth, survival, or reproduction, based on a statistically significant difference from dilution control, at a specific time of observation as determined from hypothesis testing. Under the NPDES program, as indicated in the EPA WET Method Manual EPA 821-R-02-013, Section 10.2.6.2, all test results are to be reviewed and reported in consultation with EPA guidance on the evaluation of the concentration-response relationship.
13. If toxicity test(s) using receiving water as diluent show the receiving water to be toxic or unreliable, the permittee shall either follow procedures outlined in Attachment A (Toxicity Test Procedure and Protocol) Section IV., DILUTION WATER in order to obtain an individual approval for use of an alternate dilution water, or the permittee shall follow the Self-Implementing Alternative Dilution Water Guidance which may be used to obtain automatic approval of an alternate dilution water, including the appropriate species for use with that water. This guidance is found at <http://epa.gov/region1/npdes/permits/generic/Alternatedilutionwaterguidance.pdf>. If this guidance is revoked, the permittee shall revert to obtaining individual approval as outlined in Attachment A. Any modification or revocation to this guidance will be transmitted to the permittees. However, at any time, the permittee may choose to contact EPA-New England directly using the approach outlined in Attachment A.

## Part I.A.1. (Continued)

- a. The discharge shall not cause a violation of the water quality standards of the receiving waters.
  - b. The pH of the effluent shall not be less than 6.0 or greater than 8.3 at any time.
  - c. The discharge shall not cause objectionable discoloration of the receiving waters.
  - d. The effluent shall not contain a visible oil sheen, foam, or floating solids at any time.
  - e. The permittee's treatment facility shall maintain a minimum of 85 percent removal of both total suspended solids and biochemical oxygen demand. The percent removal shall be based on monthly average values.
  - f. Use of chlorine is prohibited.
  - g. The results of sampling for any parameter done in accordance with EPA approved methods above its required frequency must also be reported.
2. All WWTPs must provide adequate notice to the Director of the following:
- a. Any new introduction of pollutants into the WWTP from an indirect discharger which would be subject to section 301 or 306 of the Clean Water Act if it were directly discharging those pollutants; and
  - b. Any substantial change in the volume or character of pollutants being introduced into that WWTP by a source introducing pollutants into the WWTP at the time of issuance of the permit.
  - c. For purposes of this paragraph, adequate notice shall include information on:
    - i. The quantity and quality of effluent introduced into the WWTP; and
    - ii. Any anticipated impact of the change on the quantity or quality of effluent to be discharged from the WWTP.

## 3. Prohibitions Concerning Interference and Pass Through:

Pollutants introduced into WWTP's by a non-domestic source (user) shall not pass through the WWTP or interfere with the operation or performance of the works.

## 4. Toxics Control

- a. The permittee shall not discharge any pollutant or combination of pollutants in toxic amounts.
- b. Any toxic components of the effluent shall not result in any demonstrable harm to aquatic life or violate any state or federal water quality standard that has been or may be promulgated. Upon promulgation of any such standard, this permit may be revised or amended in accordance with such standards.

#### 5. Numerical Effluent Limitations for Toxicants

EPA or MassDEP may use the results of the toxicity tests and chemical analyses conducted pursuant to this permit, as well as national water quality criteria developed pursuant to Section 304(a)(1) of the Clean Water Act (CWA), state water quality criteria, and any other appropriate information or data, to develop numerical effluent limitations for any pollutants, including but not limited to those pollutants listed in Appendix D of 40 CFR Part 122.

### **B. UNAUTHORIZED DISCHARGES**

The permittee is authorized to discharge only in accordance with the terms and conditions of this permit and only from the outfall(s) listed in Part I. A.1. of this permit. Discharges of wastewater from any other point sources, including sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs), are not authorized by this permit and shall be reported to EPA and MassDEP in accordance with Section D.1.e. (1) of Part II Standard Conditions of this permit (Twenty-four hour reporting).

Notification of SSOs to MassDEP shall be made on its SSO Reporting Form (which includes MassDEP Regional Office telephone numbers). The reporting form and instruction for its completion may be found on-line at <http://www.mass.gov/dep/water/approvals/surffms.htm#sso>.

### **C. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE SEWER SYSTEM**

Operation and maintenance of the sewer system shall be in compliance with the General Requirements of Part II and the following terms and conditions. The permittee is required to complete the following activities for the collection system which it owns:

#### 1. Maintenance Staff

The permittee shall provide an adequate staff to carry out the operation, maintenance, repair, and testing functions required to ensure compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit. Provisions to meet this requirement shall be described in the Collection System O & M Plan required pursuant to Section C.5. below.

#### 2. Preventive Maintenance Program

The permittee shall maintain an ongoing preventive maintenance program to prevent overflows and bypasses caused by malfunctions or failures of the sewer system infrastructure. The program shall include an inspection program designed to identify all potential and actual unauthorized discharges. Plans and programs to meet this requirement shall be described in the Collection System O & M Plan required pursuant to Section C.5. below.

3. Infiltration/Inflow

The permittee shall control infiltration and inflow (I/I) into the sewer system as necessary to prevent high flow related unauthorized discharges from their collection systems and high flow related violations of the wastewater treatment plant's effluent limitations. Plans and programs to control I/I shall be described in the Collection System O & M Plan required pursuant to Section C.5. below.

4. Collection System Mapping

**Within 30 months of the effective date of this permit**, the permittee shall prepare a map of the sewer collection system it owns and/or operates (see page 1 of this permit for the effective date). The map shall be on a street map of the community, with sufficient detail and at a scale to allow easy interpretation. The collection system information shown on the map shall be based on current conditions and shall be kept up to date and available for review by federal, state, or local agencies. Such map(s) shall include, but not be limited to the following:

- a. All sanitary sewer lines and related manholes;
- b. All combined sewer lines, related manholes, and catch basins;
- c. All combined sewer regulators and any known or suspected connections between the sanitary sewer and storm drain systems (e.g. combination manholes);
- d. All outfalls, including the treatment plant outfall(s), CSOs, and any known or suspected SSOs, including stormwater outfalls that are connected to combination manholes;
- e. All pump stations and force mains;
- f. The wastewater treatment facility(ies);
- g. All surface waters (labeled);
- h. Other major appurtenances such as inverted siphons and air release valves;
- i. A numbering system which uniquely identifies manholes, catch basins, overflow points, regulators and outfalls;
- j. The scale and a north arrow; and
- k. The pipe diameter, date of installation, type of material, distance between manholes, and the direction of flow.

5. Collection System Operation and Maintenance Plan

The permittee shall develop and implement a Collection System Operation and Maintenance

## Plan.

- a. Within six (6) months of the effective date of the permit, the permittee shall submit to EPA and MassDEP
  - (1) A description of the collection system management goals, staffing, information management, and legal authorities;
  - (2) A description of the collection system and the overall condition of the collection system including a list of all pump stations and a description of recent studies and construction activities; and
  - (3) A schedule for the development and implementation of the full Collection System O & M Plan including the elements in paragraphs b.1. through b.8. below.
  
- b. The full Collection System O & M Plan shall be submitted and implemented to EPA and MassDEP within twenty four (24) months from the effective date of this permit. The Plan shall include:
  - (1) The required submittal from paragraph 5.a. above, updated to reflect current information;
  - (2) A preventive maintenance and monitoring program for the collection system;
  - (3) Description of sufficient staffing necessary to properly operate and maintain the sanitary sewer collection system and how the operation and maintenance program is staffed;
  - (4) Description of funding, the source(s) of funding and provisions for funding sufficient for implementing the plan;
  - (5) Identification of known and suspected overflows and back-ups, including manholes. A description of the cause of the identified overflows and back-ups, corrective actions taken, and a plan for addressing the overflows and back-ups consistent with the requirements of this permit;
  - (6) A description of the permittee's programs for preventing I/I related effluent violations and all unauthorized discharges of wastewater, including overflows and by-passes and the ongoing program to identify and remove sources of I/I. The program shall include an inflow identification and control program that focuses on the disconnection and redirection of illegal sump pumps and roof down spouts; and
  - (7) An educational public outreach program for all aspects of I/I control, particularly private inflow.
  - (8) An Overflow Emergency Response Plan to protect public health from overflows and unanticipated bypasses or upsets that exceed any effluent limitation in the permit.

## 6. Annual Reporting Requirement



The permittee shall submit a summary report of activities related to the implementation of its Collection System O & M Plan during the previous calendar year. The report shall be submitted to EPA and MassDEP annually by March 31. The summary report shall, at a minimum, include:

- a. A description of the staffing levels maintained during the year;
  - b. A map and a description of inspection and maintenance activities conducted and corrective actions taken during the previous year;
  - c. Expenditures for any collection system maintenance activities and corrective actions taken during the previous year;
  - d. A map with areas identified for investigation/action in the coming year;
  - e. If treatment plant flow has reached 80% of the design flow (0.96 MGD) or there have been capacity related overflows, submit a calculation of the maximum daily, weekly, and monthly infiltration and the maximum daily, weekly, and monthly inflow for the reporting year; and
  - f. A summary of unauthorized discharges during the past year and their causes and a report of any corrective actions taken as a result of the unauthorized discharges reported pursuant to the Unauthorized Discharges section of this permit.
7. Alternate Power Source

In order to maintain compliance with the terms and conditions of this permit, the permittee shall provide an alternative power source(s) sufficient to operate the portion of the publicly owned treatment works<sup>1</sup> it owns and operates.

#### **D. SLUDGE CONDITIONS**

1. The permittee shall comply with all existing federal and state laws and regulations that apply to sewage sludge use and disposal practices, including EPA regulations promulgated at 40 CFR Part 503, which prescribe “Standards for the Use or Disposal of Sewage Sludge” pursuant to Section 405(d) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1345(d).
2. If both state and federal requirements apply to the permittee’s sludge use and/or disposal practices, the permittee shall comply with the more stringent of the applicable requirements.
3. The requirements and technical standards of 40 CFR Part 503 apply to the following sludge use or disposal practices:
  - a. Land application - the use of sewage sludge to condition or fertilize the soil;
  - b. Surface disposal - the placement of sewage sludge in a sludge only landfill; and
  - c. Sewage sludge incineration in a sludge only incinerator.

---

<sup>1</sup> As defined at 40 CFR §122.2, which references the definition at 40 CFR §403.3

4. The requirements of 40 CFR Part 503 do not apply to facilities which dispose of sludge in a municipal solid waste landfill. 40 CFR § 503.4. These requirements also do not apply to facilities which do not use or dispose of sewage sludge during the life of the permit but rather treat the sludge (e.g. lagoons, reed beds), or are otherwise excluded under 40 CFR § 503.6.
5. The 40 CFR. Part 503 requirements including the following elements:
  - a. General requirements
  - b. Pollutant limitations
  - c. Operational Standards (pathogen reduction requirements and vector attraction reduction requirements)
  - d. Management practices
  - e. Record keeping
  - f. Monitoring
  - g. Reporting

Which of the 40 C.F.R. Part 503 requirements apply to the permittee will depend upon the use or disposal practice followed and upon the quality of material produced by a facility. The EPA Region 1 Guidance document, “EPA Region 1 - NPDES Permit Sludge Compliance Guidance” (November 4, 1999), may be used by the permittee to assist it in determining the applicable requirements.<sup>2</sup>

6. The sludge shall be monitored for pollutant concentrations (all Part 503 methods) and pathogen reduction and vector attraction reduction (land application and surface disposal) at the following frequency. This frequency is based upon the volume of sewage sludge generated at the facility in dry metric tons per year

less than 290	1/ year
290 to less than 1,500	1 /quarter
1,500 to less than 15,000	6 /year
15,000 +	1 /month

Sampling of the sewage sludge shall use the procedures detailed in 40 CFR 503.8.

7. Under 40 CFR § 503.9(r), the permittee is a “person who prepares sewage sludge” because it “is ... the person who generates sewage sludge during the treatment of domestic sewage in a treatment works ....” If the permittee contracts with *another* “person who prepares sewage sludge” under 40 CFR § 503.9(r) – i.e., with “a person who derives a material from sewage sludge” – for use or disposal of the sludge, then compliance with Part 503 requirements is the responsibility of the contractor engaged for that purpose. If the permittee does not engage a “person who prepares sewage sludge,” as defined in 40 CFR § 503.9(r), for use or

---

<sup>2</sup> This guidance document is available upon request from EPA Region 1 and may also be found at: <http://www.epa.gov/region1/npdes/permits/generic/sludgeguidance.pdf>

disposal, then the permittee remains responsible to ensure that the applicable requirements in Part 503 are met. 40 CFR § 503.7. If the ultimate use or disposal method is land application, the permittee is responsible for providing the person receiving the sludge with notice and necessary information to comply with the requirements of 40 CFR Part 503 Subpart B.

8. The permittee shall submit an annual report containing the information specified in the 40 CFR Part 503 requirements (§ 503.18 (land application), § 503.28 (surface disposal), or § 503.48 (incineration)) by **February 19** (*see also* “EPA Region 1 - NPDES Permit Sludge Compliance Guidance”). Reports shall be submitted to the address contained in the reporting section of the permit. If the permittee engages a contractor or contractors for sludge preparation and ultimate use or disposal, the annual report need contain only the following information:
  - a. Name and address of contractor(s) responsible for sludge preparation, use or disposal; and
  - b. Quantity of sludge (in dry metric tons) from the POTW that is transferred to the sludge contractor(s), and the method(s) by which the contractor will prepare and use or dispose of the sewage sludge.

## E. MONITORING AND REPORTING

1. **For a period of one year from the effective date of the permit**, the permittee may either submit monitoring data and other reports to EPA in hard copy form or report electronically using NetDMR, a web-based tool that allows permittees to electronically submit discharge monitoring reports (DMRs) and other required reports via a secure internet connection. **Beginning no later than one year after the effective date of the permit**, the permittee shall begin reporting using NetDMR, unless the facility is able to demonstrate a reasonable basis that precludes the use of NetDMR for submitting DMRs and reports. Specific requirements regarding submittal of data and reports in hard copy form and for submittal using NetDMR are described below:
  - a. Submittal of Reports Using NetDMR

NetDMR is accessed from: <http://www.epa.gov/netdmr>. **Within one year of the effective date of this permit**, the permittee shall begin submitting DMRs and reports required under this permit electronically to EPA using NetDMR, unless the facility is able to demonstrate a reasonable basis, such as technical or administrative infeasibility, that precludes the use of NetDMR for submitting DMRs and reports (“opt-out request”).

DMRs shall be submitted electronically to EPA no later than the 15th day of the month following the completed reporting period. All reports required under the permit shall be submitted to EPA, including the MassDEP Monthly Operations and Maintenance Report, as an electronic attachment to the DMR. Once a permittee begins submitting reports using

NetDMR, it will no longer be required to submit hard copies of DMRs or other reports to EPA and will no longer be required to submit hard copies of DMRs to MassDEP. However, permittees shall continue to send hard copies of reports other than DMRs (including Monthly Operation and Maintenance Reports) to MassDEP until further notice from MassDEP.

b. Submittal of NetDMR Opt-Out Requests

Opt-out requests must be submitted in writing to EPA for written approval at least sixty (60) days prior to the date a facility would be required under this permit to begin using NetDMR. This demonstration shall be valid for twelve (12) months from the date of EPA approval and shall thereupon expire. At such time, DMRs and reports shall be submitted electronically to EPA unless the permittee submits a renewed opt-out request and such request is approved by EPA. All opt-out requests should be sent to the following addresses:

**Attn: NetDMR Coordinator**  
**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Water Technical Unit**  
**5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (OES04-4)**  
**Boston, MA 02109-3912**

And

**Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection**  
**Surface Water Discharge Permit Program**  
**627 Main Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor**  
**Worcester, Massachusetts 01608**

c. Submittal of Reports in Hard Copy Form

Monitoring results shall be summarized for each calendar month and reported on separate hard copy Discharge Monitoring Report Form(s) (DMRs) postmarked no later than the 15<sup>th</sup> day of the month following the completed reporting period. All reports required under this permit, including MassDEP Monthly Operation and Maintenance Reports, shall be submitted as an attachment to the DMRs. Signed and dated originals of the DMRs, and all other reports or notifications required herein or in Part II shall be submitted to the Director at the following address:

**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency**  
**Water Technical Unit (OES04-SMR)**  
**5 Post Office Square - Suite 100**  
**Boston, MA 02109-3912**

Duplicate signed copies of all reports or notifications required above shall be submitted to the State at the following addresses:

Draft Permit

NPDES Permit No. MA0100668

Page 13 of 14

**MassDEP – Northeast Region  
Bureau of Resource Protection  
205B Lowell Street  
Wilmington, MA 01887**

Copies of WET test reports **only** shall be submitted to the following address:

**Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection  
Surface Water Discharge Permit Program  
627 Main Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Worcester, Massachusetts 01608**

Any verbal reports, if required in **Parts I** and/or **II** of this permit, shall be made to both EPA-New England and to MassDEP.

#### **F. STATE PERMIT CONDITIONS**

1. This authorization to discharge includes two separate and independent permit authorizations. The two permit authorizations are (i) a federal National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. §§1251 et seq.; and (ii) an identical state surface water discharge permit issued by the Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) pursuant to the Massachusetts Clean Waters Act, M.G.L. c. 21, §§ 26-53, and 314 C.M.R. 3.00. All of the requirements contained in this authorization, as well as the standard conditions contained in 314 CMR 3.19, are hereby incorporated by reference into this state surface water discharge permit.
2. This authorization also incorporates the state water quality certification issued by MassDEP under § 401(a) of the Federal Clean Water Act, 40 C.F.R. 124.53, M.G.L. c. 21, § 27 and 314 CMR 3.07. All of the requirements (if any) contained in MassDEP's water quality certification for the permit are hereby incorporated by reference into this state surface water discharge permit as special conditions pursuant to 314 CMR 3.11.
3. Each agency shall have the independent right to enforce the terms and conditions of this permit. Any modification, suspension or revocation of this permit shall be effective only with respect to the agency taking such action, and shall not affect the validity or status of this permit as issued by the other agency, unless and until each agency has concurred in writing with such modification, suspension or revocation. In the event any portion of this permit is declared invalid, illegal or otherwise issued in violation of state law; such permit shall remain in full force and effect under federal law as a NPDES Permit issued by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. In the event this permit is declared invalid, illegal or

otherwise issued in violation of federal law, this permit shall remain in full force and effect under state law as a permit issued by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

# EXHIBIT 6

## FRESHWATER CHRONIC TOXICITY TEST PROCEDURE AND PROTOCOL USEPA Region 1

### I. GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

The permittee shall be responsible for the conduct of acceptable chronic (and modified acute) toxicity tests using three fresh samples collected during each test period. The following tests shall be performed as prescribed in Part 1 of the NPDES discharge permit in accordance with the appropriate test protocols described below. (Note: the permittee and testing laboratory should review the applicable permit to determine whether testing of one or both species is required).

- **Daphnid (Ceriodaphnia dubia) Survival and Reproduction Test.**
- **Fathead Minnow (Pimephales promelas) Larval Growth and Survival Test.**

Chronic and modified acute toxicity data shall be reported as outlined in Section VIII. The chronic fathead minnow and daphnid test data can be used to calculate an LC50 at the end of 48 hours of exposure when both acute (LC50) and chronic (C-NOEC) test endpoints are specified in the permit.

### II. METHODS

Methods to follow are those recommended by EPA in: Short Term Methods For Estimating The Chronic Toxicity of Effluents and Receiving Water to Freshwater Organisms, Fourth Edition, October 2002. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Office of Water, Washington, D.C., EPA 821-R-02-013. The methods are available on-line at <http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/WET/> . Exceptions and clarification are stated herein.

### III. SAMPLE COLLECTION AND USE

A total of three fresh samples of effluent and receiving water are required for initiation and subsequent renewals of a freshwater, chronic, toxicity test. The receiving water control sample must be collected immediately upstream of the permitted discharge's zone of influence. Fresh samples are recommended for use on test days 1, 3, and 5. However, provided a total of three samples are used for testing over the test period, an alternate sampling schedule is acceptable. The acceptable holding times until initial use of a sample are 24 and 36 hours for on-site and off-site testing, respectively. A written waiver is required from the regulating authority for any hold time extension. All test samples collected may be used for 24, 48 and 72 hour renewals after initial use. All samples held for use beyond the day of sampling shall be refrigerated and maintained at a temperature range of 0-6° C.

All samples submitted for chemical and physical analyses will be analyzed according to Section VI of this protocol.

## EXHIBIT 6

Sampling guidance dictates that, where appropriate, aliquots for the analysis required in this protocol shall be split from the samples, containerized and immediately preserved, or analyzed as per 40 CFR Part 136. EPA approved test methods require that samples collected for metals analyses be preserved immediately after collection. Testing for the presence of total residual chlorine (TRC) must be analyzed immediately or as soon as possible, for all effluent samples, prior to WET testing. TRC analysis may be performed on-site or by the toxicity testing laboratory and the samples must be dechlorinated, as necessary, using sodium thiosulfate prior to sample use for toxicity testing.

If any of the renewal samples are of sufficient potency to cause lethality to 50 percent or more of the test organisms in any of the test treatments for either species or, if the test fails to meet its permit limits, then chemical analysis for total metals (originally required for the initial sample only in Section VI) will be required on the renewal sample(s) as well.

### IV. DILUTION WATER

Samples of receiving water must be collected from a location in the receiving water body immediately upstream of the permitted discharge's zone of influence at a reasonably accessible location. Avoid collection near areas of obvious road or agricultural runoff, storm sewers or other point source discharges and areas where stagnant conditions exist. EPA strongly urges that screening for toxicity be performed prior to the set up of a full, definitive toxicity test any time there is a question about the test dilution water's ability to achieve test acceptability criteria (TAC) as indicated in Section V of this protocol. The test dilution water control response will be used in the statistical analysis of the toxicity test data. All other control(s) required to be run in the test will be reported as specified in the Discharge Monitoring Report (DMR) Instructions, Attachment F, page 2, Test Results & Permit Limits.

The test dilution water must be used to determine whether the test met the applicable TAC. When receiving water is used for test dilution, an additional control made up of standard laboratory water (0% effluent) is required. This control will be used to verify the health of the test organisms and evaluate to what extent, if any, the receiving water itself is responsible for any toxic response observed.

If dechlorination of a sample by the toxicity testing laboratory is necessary a "sodium thiosulfate" control, representing the concentration of sodium thiosulfate used to adequately dechlorinate the sample prior to toxicity testing, must be included in the test.

If the use of an alternate dilution water (ADW) is authorized, in addition to the ADW test control, the testing laboratory must, for the purpose of monitoring the receiving water, also run a receiving water control.

If the receiving water diluent is found to be, or suspected to be toxic or unreliable an ADW of known quality with hardness similar to that of the receiving water may be substituted. Substitution is species specific meaning that the decision to use ADW is made for each species and is based on the toxic response of that particular species. Substitution to an ADW is authorized in two cases. The first is the case where repeating a test due to toxicity in the site dilution water requires an **immediate decision** for ADW use be made by the permittee and toxicity testing laboratory. The second is in the case where two of the most recent documented incidents of unacceptable site dilution water toxicity requires ADW use in future WET testing.



## EXHIBIT 6

For the second case, written notification from the permittee requesting ADW use **and** written authorization from the permit issuing agency(s) is required **prior to** switching to a long-term use of ADW for the duration of the permit.

Written requests for use of ADW must be mailed with supporting documentation to the following addresses:

Director  
Office of Ecosystem Protection (CAA)  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-New England  
One Congress St., Suite 1100  
Boston, MA 02114-2023

and

Manager  
Water Technical Unit (SEW)  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
One Congress Street, Suite 1100  
Boston, MA 02114-2023

Note: USEPA Region 1 retains the right to modify any part of the alternate dilution water policy stated in this protocol at any time. Any changes to this policy will be documented in the annual DMR posting.

*See the most current annual DMR instructions which can be found on the EPA Region 1 website at <http://www.epa.gov/region1/enforcementandassistance/dmr.html> for further important details on alternate dilution water substitution requests.*

### **V. TEST CONDITIONS AND TEST ACCEPTABILITY CRITERIA**

Method specific test conditions and TAC are to be followed and adhered to as specified in the method guidance document, EPA 821-R-02-013. If a test does not meet TAC the test must be repeated with fresh samples within 30 days of the initial test completion date.

#### **V.1. Use of Reference Toxicity Testing**

Reference toxicity test results and applicable control charts must be included in the toxicity testing report.

If reference toxicity test results fall outside the control limits established by the laboratory for a specific test endpoint, a reason or reasons for this excursion must be evaluated, correction made and reference toxicity tests rerun as necessary.

If a test endpoint value exceeds the control limits at a frequency of more than one out of twenty then causes for the reference toxicity test failure must be examined and if problems are identified corrective action taken. The reference toxicity test must be repeated during the same month in which the exceedance occurred.

## EXHIBIT 6

If two consecutive reference toxicity tests fall outside control limits, the possible cause(s) for the exceedance must be examined, corrective actions taken and a repeat of the reference toxicity test must take place immediately. Actions taken to resolve the problem must be reported.

### V.1.a. Use of Concurrent Reference Toxicity Testing

In the case where concurrent reference toxicity testing is required due to a low frequency of testing with a particular method, if the reference toxicity test results fall slightly outside of laboratory established control limits, but the primary test met the TAC, the results of the primary test will be considered acceptable. However, if the results of the concurrent test fall well outside the established **upper** control limits i.e.  $\geq 3$  standard deviations for IC25s and LC50 values and  $\geq$  two concentration intervals for NOECs or NOAECs, and even though the primary test meets TAC, the primary test will be considered unacceptable and must be repeated.

V.2. For the *C. dubia* test, the determination of TAC and formal statistical analyses must be performed using only the first three broods produced.

V.3. Test treatments must include 5 effluent concentrations and a dilution water control. An additional test treatment, at the permitted effluent concentration (% effluent), is required if it is not included in the dilution series.

## VI. CHEMICAL ANALYSIS

As part of each toxicity test's daily renewal procedure, pH, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen (DO) and temperature must be measured at the beginning and end of each 24-hour period in each test treatment and the control(s).

The additional analysis that must be performed under this protocol is as specified and noted in the table below.

<u>Parameter</u>	Effluent	Receiving Water	ML (mg/l)
Hardness <sup>1, 4</sup>	x	x	0.5
Total Residual Chlorine (TRC) <sup>2, 3, 4</sup>	x		0.02
Alkalinity <sup>4</sup>	x	x	2.0
pH <sup>4</sup>	x	x	--
Specific Conductance <sup>4</sup>	x	x	--
Total Solids <sup>6</sup>	x		--
Total Dissolved Solids <sup>6</sup>	x		--
Ammonia <sup>4</sup>	x	x	0.1
Total Organic Carbon <sup>6</sup>	x	x	0.5
Total Metals <sup>5</sup>			
Cd	x	x	0.0005
Pb	x	x	0.0005
Cu	x	x	0.003
Zn	x	x	0.005
Ni	x	x	0.005
Al	x	x	0.02

Other as permit requires

### Notes:

1. Hardness may be determined by:

(May\_2007)

## EXHIBIT 6

- APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater , 21st Edition
    - Method 2340B (hardness by calculation)
    - Method 2340C (titration)
2. Total Residual Chlorine may be performed using any of the following methods provided the required minimum limit (ML) is met.
- APHA Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater , 21st Edition
    - Method 4500-CL E Low Level Amperometric Titration
    - Method 4500-CL G DPD Colorimetric Method
  - USEPA 1983. Manual of Methods Analysis of Water and Wastes
    - Method 330.5
3. Required to be performed on the sample used for WET testing prior to its use for toxicity testing
4. Analysis is to be performed on samples and/or receiving water, as designated in the table above, from all three sampling events.
5. Analysis is to be performed on the initial sample(s) only unless the situation arises as stated in Section III, paragraph 4
6. Analysis to be performed on initial samples only

## VII. TOXICITY TEST DATA ANALYSIS AND REVIEW

### A. Test Review

#### 1. Concentration / Response Relationship

A concentration/response relationship evaluation is required for test endpoint determinations from both Hypothesis Testing and Point Estimate techniques. The test report is to include documentation of this evaluation in support of the endpoint values reported. The dose-response review must be performed as required in Section 10.2.6 of EPA-821-R-02-013. Guidance for this review can be found at

<http://www.epa.gov/y cvgtuekpeglb gj qf uly gvlr f hly gvi wkf g0 f h>. In most cases, the review will result in one of the following three conclusions: (1) Results are reliable and reportable; (2) Results are anomalous and require explanation; or (3) Results are inconclusive and a retest with fresh samples is required.

#### 2. Test Variability (Test Sensitivity)

This review step is separate from the determination of whether a test meets or does not meet TAC. Within test variability is to be examined for the purpose of evaluating test sensitivity. This evaluation is to be performed for the sub-lethal hypothesis testing endpoints reproduction and growth as required by the permit. The test report is to include documentation of this evaluation to support that the endpoint values reported resulted from a toxicity test of adequate sensitivity. This evaluation must be performed as required in Section 10.2.8 of EPA-821-R-02-013.

To determine the adequacy of test sensitivity, USEPA requires the calculation of test percent minimum significant difference (PMSD) values. In cases where NOEC determinations are made based on a non-parametric technique, calculation of a test PMSD value, for the sole purpose of assessing test sensitivity, shall be calculated using a comparable parametric statistical analysis technique. The calculated test PMSD is then compared to the upper and lower PMSD bounds shown for freshwater tests in Section 10.2.8.3, p. 52, Table 6 of EPA-821-R-02-013. The comparison will yield one of the following determinations.

## EXHIBIT 6

- The test PMSD exceeds the PMSD upper bound test variability criterion in Table 6, the test results are considered highly variable and the test may not be sensitive enough to determine the presence of toxicity at the permit limit concentration (PLC). If the test results indicate that the discharge is not toxic at the PLC, then the test is considered insufficiently sensitive and must be repeated within 30 days of the initial test completion using fresh samples. If the test results indicate that the discharge is toxic at the PLC, the test is considered acceptable and does not have to be repeated.
- The test PMSD falls below the PMSD lower bound test variability criterion in Table 6, the test is determined to be very sensitive. In order to determine which treatment(s) are statistically significant and which are not, for the purpose of reporting a NOEC, the relative percent difference (RPD) between the control and each treatment must be calculated and compared to the lower PMSD boundary. See *Understanding and Accounting for Method Variability in Whole Effluent Toxicity Applications Under the NPDES Program*, EPA 833-R-00-003, June 2002, Section 6.4.2. The following link: [Understanding and Accounting for Method Variability in Whole Effluent Toxicity Applications Under the NPDES Program](#) can be used to locate the USEPA website containing this document. If the RPD for a treatment falls below the PMSD lower bound, the difference is considered statistically insignificant. If the RPD for a treatment is greater than the PMSD lower bound, then the treatment is considered statistically significant.
- The test PMSD falls within the PMSD upper and lower bounds in Table 6, the sub-lethal test endpoint values shall be reported as is.

### B. Statistical Analysis

#### 1. General - Recommended Statistical Analysis Method

Refer to general data analysis flowchart, EPA 821-R-02-013, page 43

For discussion on Hypothesis Testing, refer to EPA 821-R-02-013, Section 9.6

For discussion on Point Estimation Techniques, refer to EPA 821-R-02-013, Section 9.7

#### 2. *Pimephales promelas*

Refer to survival hypothesis testing analysis flowchart, EPA 821-R-02-013, page 79

Refer to survival point estimate techniques flowchart, EPA 821-R-02-013, page 80

Refer to growth data statistical analysis flowchart, EPA 821-R-02-013, page 92

#### 3. *Ceriodaphnia dubia*

Refer to survival data testing flowchart, EPA 821-R-02-013, page 168

Refer to reproduction data testing flowchart, EPA 821-R-02-013, page 173

# EXHIBIT 6

## VIII. TOXICITY TEST REPORTING

A report of results must include the following:

- Test summary sheets (2007 DMR Attachment F) which includes:
  - Facility name
  - NPDES permit number
  - Outfall number
  - Sample type
  - Sampling method
  - Effluent TRC concentration
  - Dilution water used
  - Receiving water name and sampling location
  - Test type and species
  - Test start date
  - Effluent concentrations tested (%) and permit limit concentration
  - Applicable reference toxicity test date and whether acceptable or not
  - Age, age range and source of test organisms used for testing
  - Results of TAC review for all applicable controls
  - Test sensitivity evaluation results (test PMSD for growth and reproduction)
  - Permit limit and toxicity test results
  - Summary of test sensitivity and concentration response evaluation

In addition to the summary sheets the report must include:

- A brief description of sample collection procedures
- Chain of custody documentation including names of individuals collecting samples, times and dates of sample collection, sample locations, requested analysis and lab receipt with time and date received, lab receipt personnel and condition of samples upon receipt at the lab(s)
- Reference toxicity test control charts
- All sample chemical/physical data generated, including minimum limits (MLs) and analytical methods used
- All toxicity test raw data including daily ambient test conditions, toxicity test chemistry, sample dechlorination details as necessary, bench sheets and statistical analysis
- A discussion of any deviations from test conditions
- Any further discussion of reported test results, statistical analysis and concentration-response relationship and test sensitivity review per species per endpoint

**Summary of Required Report Submittals\***

<b>Required Report</b>	<b>Date Due</b>	<b>Submitted by:</b>	<b>Submitted to:</b>
Whole Effluent Toxicity Test Report (Part I.A.1)	January 31, April 30, July 31, and October 31 of each year	Concord WWTF	Via NetDMR Or Environmental Protection Agency Water Technical Unit 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (OES04-4) Boston, MA 02109-3912
			MassDEP Division of Watershed Management Surface Water Discharge Permit Program 627 Main Street, 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Worcester, MA 01608
Initial Collection System Operation and Maintenance Plan (Part I.C.5.a.)	Within 6 months of effective date	Concord WWTF	Via NetDMR Or U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Water Technical Unit 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (OES04-4) Boston, MA 02109-3912
			MassDEP Bureau of Resource Protection Northeast Regional Office 205B Lowell Street Wilmington, MA 01887
Full Collection System Operations and Maintenance Plan (Part I.C.5.b.)	Two years from the effective date of the permit	Concord WWTF	Via NetDMR Or U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Water Technical Unit 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (OES04-4) Boston, MA 02109-3912

# EXHIBIT 6

Required Report	Date Due	Submitted by:	Submitted to:
			MassDEP Bureau of Resource Protection Northeast Regional Office 205B Lowell Street Wilmington, MA 01887
Collection System Annual Report (Part I.C.6.)	Annually by March 31	Concord WWTF	Via NetDMR Or U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Water Technical Unit 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (OES04-4) Boston, MA 02109-3912 <hr/> MassDEP Bureau of Resource Protection Northeast Regional Office 205B Lowell Street Wilmington, MA 01887
Notification of Sanitary Sewer Overflows (Part I.B.)	Oral Report -Within 24 hours of discovery of event Written Report – Within 5 calendar days of discovery of event	Concord WWTF	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Water Technical Unit 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (OES04-4) Boston, MA 02109-3912 <hr/> MassDEP Bureau of Resource Protection Northeast Regional Office 205B Lowell Street Wilmington, MA 01887
Annual Sludge Report (Part I.D.8)	Annually by February 19	Concord WWTF	Via NetDMR or U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Water Technical Unit 5 Post Office Square, Suite 100 (OES04-4) Boston, MA 02109-3912

# EXHIBIT 6

Required Report	Date Due	Submitted by:	Submitted to:
			MassDEP Bureau of Resource Protection Northeast Regional Office 205B Lowell Street Wilmington, MA 01887

\* This table is a summary of the reports required to be submitted under this NPDES permit as an aid to the permittee(s). If there are any discrepancies between the permit and this summary, the permittee(s) shall follow the permit requirements.